arXiv:1401.4966v2 [math.SP] 21 Jan 2014

INFINITE AND FINITE DIMENSIONAL HILBERT TENSORS

Yisheng Song[1] and Liqun Qi[2]

1. School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, XinXiang, HeNan, P.R. China, 453007.

2. Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Abstract. For an m-order $n-$dimensional Hilbert tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{H}_n = (\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m})$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m} = \frac{1}{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1},\ i_1, \cdots, i_m = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$

its spectral radius is not larger than $n^{m-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}$, and an upper bound of its E-spectral radius is $n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}$. Moreover, its spectral radius is strictly increasing and its E-spectral radius is nondecreasing with respect to the dimension n. When the order is even, both infinite and finite dimensional Hilbert tensors are positive definite. We also show that the m-order infinite dimensional Hilbert tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{H}_\infty = (\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m})$ defines a bounded and positively $(m-1)$-homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(1 < p < \infty)$, and the norm of corresponding positively homogeneous operator is smaller than or equal to $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$.

Key Words and Phrases: Hilbert tensor, Positively homogeneous, Eigenvalue, Spectral radius.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 47H15, 47H12, 34B10, 47A52, 47J10, 47H09, 15A48, 47H07.

1. Introduction

In linear algebra, an n-dimensional Hilbert matrix $H_n = (H_{ij})$ is a square matrix with entries being the unit fractions, i.e.,

$$H_{ij} = \frac{1}{i + j - 1},\ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

which was introduced by Hilbert [6]. Clearly, an n-dimensional Hilbert matrix is symmetric and positive definite, and is a compact linear operator on finite dimensional space. Many nice properties of n-dimensional Hilbert matrix have been

Email: songyisheng1@gmail.com(Song); maqilq@polyu.edu.hk(Qi).

The work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant No. PolyU 502510, 502111, 501212, 501913), the first author was supported partly by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No. 11171094, 11271112) and by the Research Projects of Department of Science and Technology of Henan Province (Grant No. 122300410414,132300410432).

inivestigated by Frazer[4] and Taussky [16]. An infinite dimensional Hilbert matrix

$$H_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{i+j-1}\right),\ i,j = 1,2,\cdots,n,\cdots$$

can be regarded as a bounded linear operator from Hilbert space l^2 into itself (here, l^p $(0 < p < \infty)$ is a space consisting of all sequences $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ satisfying $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < +\infty$), but not compact operator (Choi [3]) and Ingham [7]). The spectral properties of infinite dimensional Hilbert matrix have been studied by Magnus [10] and Kato [8].

As a natural extension of a Hilbert matrix, the entries of an m-order n-dimensional Hilbert tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{H}_n = (\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m})$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m} = \frac{1}{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1},\ i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

The entries of an m-order infinite dimensional Hilbert tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{H}_\infty = (\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m})$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m} = \frac{1}{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1},\ i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \cdots.$$

The Hilbert tensor may be regarded as derived from the integral

$$\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m} = \int_0^1 t^{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m} dt. \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly, both $\mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ are positive ($\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m} > 0$) and symmetric ($\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m}$ are invariant for any permutation of the indices), and an m-order n-dimensional Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_n$ is a Hankel tensor with $v = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \cdots, \frac{1}{nm})$ (Qi [13]), and an m-order infinite dimensional Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is a Hankel tensor with $v = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n}, \cdots)$.

For a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1}$ is a vector defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \cdots, i_m = 1}^{n} \frac{x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}}{i + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{1.2}$$

Then $x^T(\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial, denoted $\mathcal{H}_n x^m$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_n x^m = x^T(\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1}) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m = 1}^{n} \frac{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}}{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1}, \tag{1.3}$$

where x^T is the transposition of x.

For a real vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \cdots) \in l^1$ (here l^1 is a space of sequences whose series is absolutely convergent), $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}$ is an infinite dimensional vector defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \cdots, i_m = 1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}}{i + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{1.4}$$

Accordingly, $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}_n x^m = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m = 1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}}{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1}. \tag{1.5}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m$ is exactly a real number for each real vector $x \in l^1$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m < \infty$. In fact, since $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i| < \infty$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \cdots) \in l^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_n x^m &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}}{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m - m + 1} \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{|x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}|}{\underbrace{1+1+\cdots+1}_{m} - m + 1} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} |x_{i_1}||x_{i_2}| \cdots |x_{i_m}| \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| \right)^m = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i| \right)^m < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

In Section 2, we will prove that $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}$ is well defined, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1} \in l^p$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ for all real vector $x \in l^1$.

Both infinite and finite dimensional Hilbert tensors $\mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ are positive tensors. Thus, they are strictly copositive, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_n x^m > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{\theta\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m > 0 \text{ for all real nonnegative vector } x \in l^1 \setminus \{\theta\},$$

where θ is zero vector with all entries being 0 and $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_i \ge 0,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. The concept of (strictly) copositive tensors was introduced and used by Qi [12].

When the order m is even, both infinite and finite dimensional Hilbert tensors are positive definite. The concept of positive (semi-)definite tensors was introduced by Qi [11].

Theorem 1.1. *Let m, n be two positive integers and m be even. Then both $m-$order Hilbert tensors $\mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ are positive definite, i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{H}_n x^m > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty x^m > 0 \text{ for all real vector } x \in l^1 \setminus \{\theta\}.$$

Proof. By (1.1), for each positive integer n and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_n x^m &= \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \int_0^1 t^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m-m} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m} dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} (\Pi_{j=1}^m t^{i_j-1} x_{i_j}) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{i-1} x_i \right)^m dt \\
&\ge 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{H}_n$ is positive semi-definite.

Now we assume that $\mathcal{H}_n$ is not positive definite. Then there exists $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_n x^m = 0$. Then from the derivation in the last paragraph, we see that

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t^{i-1} \bar{x}_i \right)^m dt = 0.$$

By the continuity, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t^{i-1} \bar{x}_i \equiv 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

Letting $t = 0$, we have $\bar{x}_1 = 0$ and so,

$$t(\bar{x}_2 + t\bar{x}_1 + \ldots + t^{n-2}\bar{x}_n) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

So, for all $t \in (0,1]$, we have

$$\bar{x}_2 + t\bar{x}_1 + \ldots + t^{n-2}\bar{x}_n = 0.$$

Again by continuity, we see that

$$\bar{x}_2 + t\bar{x}_1 + \ldots + t^{n-2}\bar{x}_n = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

Letting $t = 0$, we see that $\bar{x}_2 = 0$. Repeating this process, we see that

$$\bar{x}_i = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Therefore, $\bar{x} = \theta$, which forms a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{H}_n$ is positive definite.

Similarly, we can show that $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is positive definite. □

In the remainder of this paper, we will investigate some other nice properties of infinite and finite dimensional Hilbert tensors such as spectral radius and operator norm and so on.

In Section 2, we will prove that the m-order infinite dimensional Hilbert tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{H}_\infty = (\mathcal{H}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m})$ defines a bounded and positively $(m-1)$-homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(1 < p < \infty)$. When $(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]}$ is well defined for all real vector $x \in l^1$, let

$$F_\infty x = (\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]} \text{ and } T_\infty x = \begin{cases} \|x\|_1^{2-m} \mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}, & x \neq \theta \\ \theta, & x = \theta, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where $x^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]} = (x_1^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \cdots, x_n^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \cdots)$ and θ is zero vector with entries being all 0. We will show that T_∞ is a bounded, continuous and positively homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(1 < p < \infty)$ and F_∞ is a bounded, continuous and positively homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(m-1 < p < \infty)$. Furthermore, their norms are not larger than $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$.

In Section 3, we will study the spectral properties of an m-order $n-$dimensional Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_n$. With the help of the finite dimensional Hilbert inequality, the largest $H-$eigenvalue (spectral radius) of Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_n$ is not exactly larger than $n^{m-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}$, and the largest $Z-$eigenvalue ($E-$spectral radius) of $\mathcal{H}_n$ is smaller than or equal to $n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}$. Furthermore, the spectral radius of Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_n$ is strictly increasing with respect to the dimensionality n and its E-spectral radius is nondecreasing with respect to the dimensionality n.

2. Infinite dimensional Hilbert tensors

For $0 < p < \infty$, l^p is the space consisting of all sequences $x = (x_i)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < \infty.$$

If $p \geq 1$, then a norm on l^p is defined by

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In fact, the space $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space for $p \geq 1$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be two Banach space, and $T : X \to Y$ be an operator and r is a real number. T is called

- *r-homogeneous* if $T(tx) = t^r Tx$ for each $t \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $x \in X$;
- *positively homogeneous* if $T(tx) = tTx$ for each $t > 0$ and all $x \in X$;
- *bounded* if there is a real number $M > 0$ such that

$$\|Tx\|_Y \leq M\|x\|_X, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Let T be a bounded, continuous and positively homogeneous operator from X into Y. Then the norm of T can be defined by

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|_Y : \|x\|_X = 1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

When $(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]}$ is well defined for all real vector $x \in l^1$, let

$$F_\infty x = \left(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}\right)^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$T_\infty x = \begin{cases} \|x\|_1^{2-m} \mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}, & x \neq \theta \\ \theta, & x = \theta, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where $x^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]} = (x_1^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \cdots, x_n^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \cdots)$ and θ is zero vector with entries being all 0. Clearly, both F_∞ and T_∞ are continuous and positively homogeneous. With the help of the well known series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^p} < \infty \text{ for } \infty > p > 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

now we discuss properties of the infinite dimensional Hilbert tensor.

Theorem 2.1. *Let F_∞ and T_∞ be defined by the equations (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Then*

(i) *if $x \in l^1$, then $T_\infty x \in l^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$;*
(ii) *if $x \in l^1$, then $F_\infty x \in l^p$ for $m - 1 < p < \infty$.*

Furthermore, T_∞ is a bounded, continuous and positively homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(1 < p < \infty)$ and F_∞ is a bounded, continuous and positively homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(m - 1 < p < \infty)$. In particular,

$$\|T_\infty\| = \sup_{\|x\|_1=1} \|T_\infty x\|_2 \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$$

and

$$\|F_\infty\| = \sup_{\|x\|_1=1} \|F_\infty x\|_{2(m-1)} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

Proof. For $x \in l^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})_i| &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}}{i+i_2+\cdots+i_m-m+1} \right| \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{|x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}|}{i+\underbrace{1+\cdots+1}_{m-1}-m+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{i} \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} |x_{i_2}||x_{i_3}|\cdots|x_{i_m}| \\
&= \frac{1}{i} \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{m-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| \right)^{m-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{i} \|x\|_1^{m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (i) for $p > 1$, it follows from the definition of T_∞ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(T_\infty x)_i|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(\|x\|_1^{2-m} \mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})_i|^p \\
&= \|x\|_1^{(2-m)p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})_i|^p \\
&\le \|x\|_1^{(2-m)p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{i}\|x\|_1^{m-1})^p \\
&= \|x\|_1^p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^p} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^p} < \infty$ for $p > 1$, and thus $T_\infty x \in l^p$ for all $x \in l^1$. Moreover, we also have

$$\|T_\infty x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(T_\infty x)_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le M\|x\|_1, \tag{2.4}$$

where $M = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$. So, T_∞ is a bounded operator from l^1 into l^p $(1 < p < \infty)$. In particular, take $p = 2$, $M = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.4) that

$$\|T_\infty\| = \sup_{\|x\|_1=1} \|T_\infty x\|_2 \le \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

(ii) for $p > m-1$, it follows from the definition of F_∞ that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}|(F_\infty x)_i|^p =&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}|(\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1})_i|^{\frac{p}{m-1}}\\ \le&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{i}\|x\|_1^{m-1})^{\frac{p}{m-1}}\\ =&\|x\|_1^p\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{i^{\frac{p}{m-1}}}<\infty\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{i^{\frac{p}{m-1}}}<\infty$ for $p>m-1$, and hence $F_\infty x\in l^p$ for all $x\in l^1$. Moreover, we also have

$$\|T_\infty x\|_p=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}|(F_\infty x)_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\le C\|x\|_1, \tag{2.5}$$

where $C=\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{i^{\frac{p}{m-1}}}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{p}}>0$. So, F_∞ is a bounded operator from l^1 into l^p $(m-1<p<\infty)$. Similarly, take $p=2(m-1)$, $C=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.5) that

$$\|F_\infty\|=\sup_{\|x\|_1=1}\|T_\infty x\|_{2(m-1)}\le\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

It follows from the definition (1.4) that $\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}$ is continuous, positively $(m-1)$-homogeneous, and so from the proof of Theorem 2.1, it also is bounded.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ be an m-order infinite dimensional Hilbert tensor and $f(x)=\mathcal{H}_\infty x^{m-1}$. Then f is a bounded, continuous and positively $(m-1)$-homogeneous operator from l^1 into l^p $(1<p<\infty)$.*

Remark 1. It is well known that Hilbert matrix H_∞ is a bounded linear operator from l^2 into l^2 and

$$\|H_\infty\|_2=\sup_{\|x\|_2=1}\|H_\infty x\|_2=\pi.$$

For more details, see [3]. Then if the Hilbert matrix H_∞ is regarded as a bounded linear operator from l^1 into l^2, whether $\|H_\infty\|=\sup\limits_{\|x\|_1=1}\|H_\infty x\|_2$ is exactly equal to $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$ or another number? Furthermore, may the values of $\|T_\infty\|=\sup\limits_{\|x\|_1=1}\|T_\infty x\|_2$ and $\|F_\infty\|=\sup\limits_{\|x\|_1=1}\|F_\infty x\|_{2(m-1)}$ be worked out exactly?

3. Finite dimensional Hilbert tensors

For $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\infty>p\ge1$, it is known well that

$$\|x\|_p=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is the norm defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for each $p\ge1$ and

$$\|x\|_p\le\|x\|_r\le n^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}}\|x\|_p \text{ for } p>r. \tag{3.1}$$

Then for a continuous, positively homogeneous $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, it is obvious that

$$\|T\|_p = \max_{\|x\|_p=1} \|Tx\|_p$$

is the operator norm of T for each $p \geq 1$ (Song and Qi [14]). When $(\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1})^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]}$ is well defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$F_n x = (\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1})^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]}$$

and

$$T_n x = \begin{cases} \|x\|_2^{2-m} \mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1}, & x \neq \theta \\ \theta, & x = \theta, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where $x^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]} = (x_1^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \cdots, x_n^{\frac{1}{m-1}})^T$ and $\theta = (0, 0, \cdots, 0)^T$. Clearly, both F_n and T_n are continuous and positively homogeneous. The following Hilbert inequality is well known (Frazer [4]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|x_i||x_j|}{i+j-1} \leq \left(n \sin \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 = \|x\|_2^2 n \sin \frac{\pi}{n}. \tag{3.3}$$

Recall that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an *eigenvalue of* $\mathcal{H}_n$, if there is a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $x^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, \cdots, x_n^{m-1})^T$, and call x an *eigenvector* associated with λ. We call such an eigenvalue *H-eigenvalue* if it is real and has a real eigenvector x, and call such a real eigenvector x an *H-eigenvector*. A number $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *E-eigenvalue of* $\mathcal{H}_n$, if there is a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_n x^{m-1} = \mu x \\ x^T x = 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and call a vector x an *E-eigenvector* associated with μ. If x is real, then μ is also real. In this case, μ and x are called *Z-eigenvalue* of $\mathcal{H}_n$ and *Z-eigenvector* associated with μ, respectively. These concepts were first introduced by Qi [11] for the higher order symmetric tensors. Lim [9] independently introduced the notion of eigenvalue for higher order tensors but restricted x to be a real vector and λ to be a real number.

Since Hilbert tensor is positive (all entries are positive) and symmetric, then the following conclusions (i) were easily obtained from Chang, Pearson and Zhang [2], Qi [12], Song and Qi [15] and Yang and Yang [18, 17], and the conclusions (ii) can be obtained from Chang, Pearson and Zhang [1] and Song and Qi [14].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\rho(F_n)$ and $\rho(T_n)$ respectively denote the largest modulus of the eigenvalues of operators F_n and T_n. Then*

(i) *$(\rho(F_n))^{m-1}$ is a positive H-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}_n$ with a positive $H-$eigenvector, i.e. all components are positive and*

$$\rho(F_n)^{m-1} = \max\{\mathcal{H}_n x^m; x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \|x\|_m = 1\}; \tag{3.6}$$

(ii) *$\rho(T_n)$ is a positive $Z-$eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}_n$ with a nonnegative $Z-$eigenvector and*

$$\rho(T_n) = \max\{\mathcal{H}_n x^m; x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_2 = 1\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Now we give the upper bounded of the eigenvalues of operators F_n and T_n.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be an m-order n-dimensional Hilbert tensor. Then*

(i) *for all E-eigenvalues (Z-eigenvalues) μ of Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_n$,*

$$|\mu| \le \rho(T_n) \le n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin\frac{\pi}{n};$$

(ii) *for all eigenvalues (H-eigenvalues) λ of Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_n$,*

$$|\lambda| \le \rho(F_n)^{m-1} \le n^{m-1} \sin\frac{\pi}{n}.$$

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$, it follws from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}_n x^m| &= \left| \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}}{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m-m+1} \right| \\
&\le \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{|x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}|}{i_1+i_2+\underbrace{1+\cdots+1}_{m-2}-m+1} \\
&= \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \frac{|x_{i_1}||x_{i_2}|\cdots|x_{i_m}|}{i_1+i_2-1} \\
&= \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^{n}\sum_{i_2=1}^{n} \frac{|x_{i_1}||x_{i_2}|}{i_1+i_2-1} \right) \sum_{i_3,i_4,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} |x_{i_3}||x_{i_4}|\cdots|x_{i_m}| \\
&\le \left(\|x\|_2^2 n \sin\frac{\pi}{n} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| \right)^{m-2} \\
&= \left(n \sin\frac{\pi}{n} \right) \|x\|_2^2 \|x\|_1^{m-2}. \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

(i) From (3.1), it follows that $\|x\|_1 \le \sqrt{n}\|x\|_2$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_n x^m \le& (n \sin\frac{\pi}{n}) \|x\|_2^2 \|x\|_1^{m-2} \\
\le& (n \sin\frac{\pi}{n}) n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \|x\|_2^m \\
=& (n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin\frac{\pi}{n}) \|x\|_2^m,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_2} \right)^m = \frac{1}{\|x\|_2^m} \mathcal{H}_n x^m \le n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin\frac{\pi}{n}.$$

It follows from (3.7) of Lemma 3.2 (ii) that

$$\rho(T_n) \le n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin\frac{\pi}{n}.$$

(ii) From (3.1), it follows that

$$\|x\|_2 \le n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{m}} \|x\|_m \text{ and } \|x\|_1 \le n^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \|x\|_m$$

for $m \geq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then by (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_n x^m &\leq \left(n \sin \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \|x\|_2^2 \|x\|_1^{m-2} \\ &\leq \left(n \sin \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \left(n^{1-\frac{2}{m}} \|x\|_m^2\right) \left(n^{(1-\frac{1}{m})(m-2)} \|x\|_2^{m-2}\right) \\ &= \left(n \sin \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \left(n^{m-2} \|x\|_m^m\right) \\ &= \left(n^{m-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \|x\|_m^m,\end{aligned}$$

and hence, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\theta\}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_m}\right)^m = \frac{1}{\|x\|_m^m} \mathcal{H}_n x^m \leq n^{m-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}.$$

It follows from (3.6) of Lemma 3.2 (i) that

$$\rho(F_n)^{m-1} \leq n^{m-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{n}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Recall that A m-order r-dimensional $\mathcal{B}$ is called *a principal sub-tensor* of a m-order n-dimensional tensor $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_{i_1 \cdots i_m})$ $(r \leq n)$, if $\mathcal{B}$ consists of r^m elements in $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m})$: for a set $\mathcal{N}$ that composed of r elements in $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A}_{i_1 \cdots i_m}), \text{ for all } i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m \in \mathcal{N}.$$

The concept were first introduced and used by Qi [11] for the higher order symmetric tensor. Clearly, a m-order n_1-dimensional Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_{n_1}$ is a principal sub-tensor of m-order n_2-dimensional Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}_{n_2}$ if $n_1 \leq n_2$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $n < k$, then*

$$\rho(F_n) < \rho(F_k) \text{ and } \rho(T_n) \leq \rho(T_k).$$

Proof. Since $n < k$, then $\mathcal{H}_n$ is a principal sub-tensor of $\mathcal{H}_k$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 (i) that $\rho(F_n)^{m-1}$ is a positive eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}_n$ with positive eigenvector $x_{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, \cdots, x_n^{(n)})$, and hence $\rho(F_n)^{m-1}$ is an eigenvalue of of $\mathcal{H}_k$ with corresponding eigenvector $x' = (x_1^{(n)}, \cdots, x_n^{(n)}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{k-n})$. Since $\rho(F_k)^{m-1}$ is positive eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}_k$ with positive eigenvector $x_{(k)} = (x_1^{(k)}, \cdots, x_k^{(k)})$ by Lemma 3.2 (i), then

$$\rho(F_n)^{m-1} < \rho(F_k)^{m-1},$$

and hence, $\rho(F_n) < \rho(F_k)$.

Similarly, applying Lemma 3.2 (ii), we also have

$$\rho(T_n) \leq \rho(T_k).$$

The desired conclusion follows. □

Remark 2. (i) In Theorem 3.4, the monotonicity of the spectral radius with respect to the dimensionality n is proved. Then whether or not the eigenvector $x_{(n)}$ associated with the spectral radius is the same monotonicity.

(ii) In Theorem 3.3, the upper bounds of two classes of spectral radii are established. It is not clear whether these two upper bounds may be attained or only one of these two upper bounds may be attained or both cannot be attained.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Dr. Guoyin Li, Prof. Yimin Wei and Mr. Weiyang Ding for their valuable suggestions. In particular, in the original draft, we only proved that $\mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ are positive semi-definite. Dr. Guoyin Li suggested the current proof for showing that $\mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ are positive definite.

References

[1] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson, and T. Zhang, *Some variational principles for Z-eigenvalues of nonnegative tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438(2013) 4166-4182.

[2] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson, and T. Zhang, *Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors*, Commun. Math. Sci. 6(2008) 507-520.

[3] Man-Duen Choi, *Tricks for Treats with the Hilbert Matrix*, Amer. Math. Monthly 90(1983),301-312.

[4] H. Frazer, *Note on Hilbert's Inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (1946) s1-21 (1): 7-9.

[5] S. Fucik, J. Necas, J. Soucek and V. Soucek, *Spectral Analysis of Nonlinear Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 346, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.

[6] D. Hilbert, *Ein Beitrag zur Theorie des Legendre'schen Polynoms*, Acta Mathematica (Springer Netherlands) 18(1894) 155-159.

[7] A. E. Ingham, *A Note on Hilbert's Inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (1936) s1-11 (3): 237-240.

[8] T. Kato, *On the Hilbert Matrix*, Proc. American Math. Soc. 8(1)(1957) 73-81.

[9] L.H. Lim, *Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: A variational approach*, in: Proc. 1st IEEE International workshop on computational advances of multi-tensor adaptive processing, Dec. 13-15, 2005, pp. 129-132.

[10] W. Magnus *On the Spectrum of Hilbert's Matrix*, American Journal of Mathematics, 72(4)(1950) 699-704.

[11] L. Qi, *Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor*, J. Symbolic Comput. 40(2005) 1302-1324.

[12] L. Qi, *Symmetric nonnegative tensors and copositive tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl., 439(2013) 228-238.

[13] L. Qi, *Hankel Tensors: Associated Hankel Matrices and Vandermonde Decomposition*, (2013) arXiv:1310.5470.

[14] Y. Song and L. Qi, *Positive eigenvalue-eigenvector of nonlinear positive mappings*, Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 9(1)(2014) 181-199

[15] Y. Song and L. Qi, *Spectral properties of positively homogeneous operators induced by higher order tensors*, SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. & Appl., 34(4)(2013) 1581-1595.

[16] O. Taussky, *A remark concerning the characteristic roots of the finite segments of the Hilbert matrix,* Quarterly Journal of Mathematies, Oxford ser., vol. 20 (1949) 80-83.

[17] Q. Yang and Y. Yang, *Further Results for Perron-Frobenius Theorem for Nonnegative Tensors II*, SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. & Appl. 32(4)(2011) 1236-1250.

[18] Y. Yang and Q. Yang, *Further Results for Perron-Frobenius Theorem for Nonnegative Tensors*, SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. & Appl., 31(5)(2010) 2517-2530.